



June, 2014

Part I. Foundation Information

1.	. Foundation Name:										
2.	How would you describe your foundation?										
	Check only one:										
Family Foundation (a voting majority of family members serve on the											
	Corporate Foundation										
	Independent Foundation (private foundation, not corporate or family)										
	Corporate Giving Program										
[Other (please describe in the space provided)										
3.	Does the foundation accept unsolicited grant proposals?										
	Yes No										
4.	Does the foundation require letters of interest or summary proposals before inviting full proposals?										
	L L										
	Yes No										
5.	In the past five years (2009-2013), how often did the foundation make multi-year grants of three years or longer? (Check one)										
	Never Fewer than 10% of Between 10% and Between 1/3 and More than 2/3 of all grants 1/3 of all grants 2/3 of all grants all grants										
6.	In the past five years (2009-2013), has the foundation had a policy or preference for <u>not awarding</u> <u>grants to the same organization</u> within specific time windows (such as not awarding a grant to the same organization in consecutive years or within three years)?										
	Yes No Unsure										
	If yes → please use the space below to explain your foundation's preferred time interval between										
	grant awards to the same organization:										

7.	Please list the number of employees your foundation employed in 2013 in each category below (if
	zero, please write "0")

	Full-Time	Part-Time	Consultants	Volunteers				
Professional/Program Staff								
Administrative/Support Staff								
8. How many members serve on your <u>board of directors</u> ?								
9. Do any of your board members <u>Check all that apply</u> :								
Work in	the <u>business</u> se	ctor						
Serve on	the boards of <u>f</u>	or-profit corpor	ations _					
Work in	the <u>nonprofit</u> se	ector (not includ	ing your staff)					
Work in	foundations or	serve on other f	oundation boar	ds				
Serve on	other <u>nonprofi</u>	t boards						
Work in	government							
Live in co	ommunities <u>wh</u>	ere you focus yo	ur grantmaking					
Represer	nt <u>client popula</u>	tions of organiza	itions you fund					
Work for	or serve on bo	ards of <u>organiza</u>	tions you have t	<u>funded</u>				
Work in	professions <u>rela</u>	ated to your fund	ding priorities					
Serve as	family member	<u>s</u> or donors to th	ne foundation					
10. Which of the following review procedures did you use in the last year (2013) to evaluate organizations that applied for grants from your foundation? <u>Check all that apply</u> :								
Applicati	on review <u>by th</u>	ne foundation's s	<u>taff</u>					
Applicati	on review <u>by th</u>	ne foundation's b	oard of directo	<u>irs</u>				
Application review by an advisory board composed of people outside the foundation, such as community members or previous grant recipients								
11. Is your foundation a member	of any associatio	ns of grantmakers	or other profess	sional associations?				
Yes	No	Unsure						
If yes \rightarrow please list the association(s) your foundation is a member of in the space provided:								

Part II. Recent Changes in Grant Applications

Please note: if your foundation requires letters of interest or summaries of proposals before requesting full applications, please include the number of letters of interest, summaries of proposals and full applications in the number of "applications." Please be as accurate as possible in your responses, and use your best estimates when the exact numbers are not available.

recei	sidering the number of organizations that apply to your foundation, on average, have you eived more, less or about the same number of applications in the last five years (since 2009) appared to previous years?								
Chec	k only one:								
	Large increases								
	Moderate increases								
	Slight increases								
	The number has stayed r	oughly the same							
	Slight declines								
	Moderate declines								
	Large declines								
N -	ot applicable (please explain why in the space	provided)							
(Plea	many applications has the foundation received se be as accurate as possible, and approximate ame year, and respond with "0" if the foundation	if necessary. Combine grant cycles that fall in							
Year	# of grant applications # of grants awarded								
2013									
2012									
2011									
2010									
2009									

come from organizations that you knew little to nothing about w	hen they applied?
Check only one:	
Large increases	
Moderate increases	
Slight increases	
The number has stayed roughly the sa	me
Slight declines	
Moderate declines	
Large declines	
Not applicable (please explain why in the space provided)	
15. Please indicate approximate percentages of all applications in the	
describe as coming from organizations that your foundation was ve	
describe as coming from organizations that your foundation was ve	Percentage of
describe as coming from organizations that your foundation was vessemewhat familiar with, and that you were not familiar with.	Percentage of organizations that
describe as coming from organizations that your foundation was vessemewhat familiar with, and that you were not familiar with. Level of familiarity	Percentage of
describe as coming from organizations that your foundation was vessemewhat familiar with, and that you were not familiar with. Level of familiarity Unfamiliar (knew little to nothing about the	Percentage of organizations that
describe as coming from organizations that your foundation was vessemewhat familiar with, and that you were not familiar with. Level of familiarity Unfamiliar (knew little to nothing about the organization when they applied)	Percentage of organizations that applied in 2013
describe as coming from organizations that your foundation was vessemewhat familiar with, and that you were not familiar with. Level of familiarity Unfamiliar (knew little to nothing about the organization when they applied) Somewhat Familiar (knew of the organization but not	Percentage of organizations that applied in 2013
describe as coming from organizations that your foundation was vessemewhat familiar with, and that you were not familiar with. Level of familiarity Unfamiliar (knew little to nothing about the organization when they applied)	Percentage of organizations that applied in 2013
describe as coming from organizations that your foundation was vesomewhat familiar with, and that you were not familiar with. Level of familiarity Unfamiliar (knew little to nothing about the organization when they applied) Somewhat Familiar (knew of the organization but not very familiar with their work) Very Familiar (familiar with the organization and their	Percentage of organizations that applied in 2013 %
describe as coming from organizations that your foundation was vessemewhat familiar with, and that you were not familiar with. Level of familiarity Unfamiliar (knew little to nothing about the organization when they applied) Somewhat Familiar (knew of the organization but not very familiar with their work)	Percentage of organizations that applied in 2013
describe as coming from organizations that your foundation was vesomewhat familiar with, and that you were not familiar with. Level of familiarity Unfamiliar (knew little to nothing about the organization when they applied) Somewhat Familiar (knew of the organization but not very familiar with their work) Very Familiar (familiar with the organization and their work when they applied) 16. Approximately what percentage of applications in the last year (20)	Percentage of organizations that applied in 2013 %
describe as coming from organizations that your foundation was vesomewhat familiar with, and that you were not familiar with. Level of familiarity Unfamiliar (knew little to nothing about the organization when they applied) Somewhat Familiar (knew of the organization but not very familiar with their work) Very Familiar (familiar with the organization and their work when they applied)	Percentage of organizations that applied in 2013 %

Part III. Recent Foundation Changes

17.	Compared to 5	years ago (2009), has your fou	undation		
	Increased	or	<u>Decreased</u>	the foundation's staff	No Change	Unsure
	<u>Increased</u>	or	Decreased	the foundation's board of director	s 📗	
	<u>Increased</u>	or	<u>Decreased</u>	the number of grants awarded		
	<u>Increased</u>	or	<u>Decreased</u>	average grant amounts		
	Broadened	<u>d</u> or	<u>Narrowed</u>	the range of activities/programs funded		
	<u>Increased</u>	or	<u>Decreased</u>	allowable overhead in grants funded		
	<u>Increased</u>	or	<u>Decreased</u>	general operating support		
	<u>Increased</u>	or	<u>Decreased</u>	multi-year grants/funding		
	Increased	or	<u>Decreased</u>	grants to organizations you <u>have</u> <u>funded</u> before		
	<u>Increased</u>	or	<u>Decreased</u>	grants to organizations you <u>have</u> <u>not</u> funded before		
	<u>Increased</u>	or	<u>Decreased</u>	co-funding arrangements with other funders		
	<u>Increased</u>	or	<u>Decreased</u>	your grant rejection rate		
18.	Compared to 5	years ago (2	2009), has your fo u	undation become <u>more selective</u> of gra	nt recipie	nts?
	•	Yes	No	Unsure		
	•	-		e more selective of organizations? (for defined program areas or screening app	•	nore
	closely based or		•	3 ap		

19	19. If you checked any changes on page 7, what were the main reasons you made those changes? (If you did not make any of the changes listed above, skip to Question 20.)									
<u>Pa</u>	rt IV. Applica	tion Review Qu	<u>estions</u>							
20	. Does your gr	ant application re	eview process inclu	ıde the following?	(Check all that ag	oply.)				
		Onlin	e grant submission	s (through a web li	nk or email)					
	1	Electi	ronic (paperless) ap	plication review						
	·	<u>Elect</u> ı	ronic (paperless) ap	pplication routing t	nroughout the re	view process				
21	. If yes, what y	ear did you begir	n accepting applica	tions electronically	/? (If no, skip to o	question 22.)				
20	09 or before	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014				
22	. Thinking back	k to <u>your most rec</u>	<u>cent grant cycle</u> , ap	proximately what	percentage of ap	plications				
	Check the approximate percentage range for each item below:									
				Fewer						

	Fewer than 25%	25% to 49%	50% to 74%	75% or more	N/A
Fit the foundation's geographic and program interests					
Included all required materials when reviewed					
Fit the foundation's guidelines on <u>funding amounts</u>					
Included <u>feasible projects</u>					
Included an <u>accurate/realistic budget</u> for the proposed project					
Included <u>innovative projects</u> (meeting needs in a new way or meeting new needs)					
Included projects that were evidence-based					
Included <u>program data</u> to support the proposal					

23. **What sources of information** *beyond the application itself* did you use <u>in your most recent grant cycle</u> to assess applicants?

Check the <u>approximate percentage range of applications</u> that were assessed with each item below:

	Fewer than 25%	25% to 49%	50% to 74%	75% or more	N/A
Foundation <u>board or staff members' existing knowledge</u> of the organization					
Information about the organization learned <u>from</u> personal/professional network of the foundation's board					
Information about the organization learned <u>from personal</u> or professional network of the foundation's staff					
Online information (searches)					
Information from organizations' websites					
Information from <u>organizations' Form 990</u> from previous years					
GuideStar, Charity Navigator, or other online charity review websites					
Organizations' reputation among other funders					
Opinions about the organization from members of the community					
Information from <u>in-person site visits to the organization</u> by staff or board members					
Other (write-in any additional sources of information):					
Other (write-in any additional sources of information):					
Other (write-in any additional sources of information):					

24. How important were the following criteria in the foundation's grantmaking decisions **in the most** recent grant cycle? Check one box, 1 to 10 for each item, 1 being unimportant and 10 being very important:

Not I	mpoi	rtant		Very Importan						rtant	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	N/A
Fit with the foundation's funding guidelines (including the required materials and fitting the foundation's program interests, geographic interests, and/or funding amount ranges)											
Feasibility of the proposed project											
Including a <u>realistic/accurate budget</u>											
Innovation of the proposed project (meeting needs in a new way or meeting new needs)											
The proposed project being evidence-based											
Including <u>program data</u> to support the proposal											
The foundation's <u>familiarity with the</u> <u>organization</u> applying for funding											
The organization's <u>past performance</u> (track record)											
The organization's <u>financial stability</u> (having low risk of financial trouble)											
Evidence that the organization is efficiently managed											
The organization's <u>capacity</u> to complete the project											
Evidence of <u>other sources of funding</u> for the proposed project											
Information on the organization from the foundation's personal or professional network											
The organization's <u>reputation among other</u> <u>funders</u>											
The organization's reputation in the community											

Part V. Respondent Information (optional):
25. Your Name:
26. Your Position or Title (Please check one):
CEO, President, Executive Director
Trustee, Board Member
Other (please specify):
27. Would you like a summary of this study's findings for your own benchmarking purposes?
Yes No
If yes, what email address you would like us to use to send you the findings?
email:
28. Would you be willing to be contacted for a follow-up interview?
Yes No
29. Please share any additional comments in the space below:

Thank you for participating in this survey

For questions about the survey and this study, contact Lewis Faulk at faulk@american.edu