Program Assessment Plan

American University


Contact Person for Assessment: Professor Tamar Gutner
Unit's Primary Department: Intl Politics/Intl Econ.

Learning Outcome: Concepts and Theories of Global Economy
Students will be able to show an ability to apply concepts and theories from international economics and political economy to explain the workings of and issues facing the global economy.

Outcome Year: 2010-2011
Start Date: 11/17/2010
Outcome Status: Active Learning Outcome

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessment Measure</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Schedule/Cycle</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spring 2010, 2012 Direct Measure: capstone paper. Faculty members will review the capstones submitted each spring using a rubric of specific criteria, and scores of &quot;excellent,&quot; &quot;satisfactory,&quot; and &quot;poor.&quot;</td>
<td>For the first measure, in 2010, at least 70% of students achieving &quot;satisfactory&quot; or better. Our target was for students to achieve a score of 3 or better for the second measure's three criteria. Student scores ranged from 1 to 4, with the average scores at 2.8, 2.8, and 2.6, respectively.</td>
<td>We carried out the first assessment measure in May 2010 and will next carry it out in May 2012. We discuss results at the subsequent September program meeting, where we will determine adjustments to the curriculum to improve areas where results are weak. We will carry out the second assessment measure each spring.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Measure Type: Final Paper/ Final Project

Spring 2011: We wanted to try a different way of measuring it this year. We invited IER students to present a major research paper to a panel of five IER faculty members. Each student had 10 minutes to present, followed by 10 minutes of Q&A. The faculty scored each presentation on a scale of 1-5 (1 is the lowest, 5 is the highest) in the following categories: ability to succinctly state the research question; clear explanation of key findings; persuasiveness about academic significance and/or policy relevance of findings; professional quality of presentation (appropriate dress, oral delivery and articulation, visual aids); ability to answer questions directly and thoughtfully. The first three categories were related to this learning outcome.

Indirect measure: Feedback from Zoomerang survey of graduating students.

Measure Type: Survey

No target identified.

Data will be collected each May, and results will be discussed by faculty at the September field meeting, where we will determine adjustments to the curriculum to improve areas where results are weak.

We carried out the second assessment measure in April 2011 and discussed the findings in Fall 2011.

Learning Outcome: Research Projects
Students will be able to develop and execute research projects that integrate theory and empirics and develop writing and analytical skills.

Outcome Year: 2010-2011
Start Date: 11/17/2010
Outcome Status: Active Learning Outcome

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessment Measure</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Schedule/Cycle</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Direct measure: SRP papers. Faculty members will review the SRPs each spring using a rubric of specific criteria, and scores of &quot;excellent,&quot; &quot;satisfactory,&quot; and &quot;poor.&quot;</td>
<td>At least 70% of students achieve &quot;satisfactory&quot; or better.</td>
<td>Data will be collected each May, and results will be discussed by faculty in the September field</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Measure Type: Final Paper/ Final Project

We will carry out the second assessment measure each spring.
Assessment Measure | Target | Schedule/Cycle |
--- | --- | --- |
"poor." | meeting, where we will determine adjustments to the curriculum to improve areas where results are weak. |
Measure Type: Written Assignment |
Indirect measure: Feedback from Zoomerang survey of graduating students. | No target identified. | Data will be collected each May, and results will be discussed by faculty in the September field meeting, where we will determine adjustments to the curriculum to improve areas where results are weak. |
Measure Type: Survey |

**Learning Outcome: Major Schools of Thought in International Economics**

Students will be able to describe and distinguish among the major schools of thought in international economics and international political economy.

**Outcome Year:** 2010-2011

**Start Date:** 11/17/2010

**Outcome Status:** Active Learning Outcome

**Learning Outcome: Facts and Concepts in International Trade**

Students will be able to master basic facts, concepts, and central policy debates in international trade, monetary, and financial relations.

**Outcome Year:** 2010-2011

**Start Date:** 11/17/2010

**Outcome Status:** Active Learning Outcome

**Learning Outcome: Speaking Skills**

Students will be able to develop speaking skills so they can clearly and effectively convey their knowledge.

**Outcome Year:** 2010-2011

**Start Date:** 11/17/2010

**Outcome Status:** Active Learning Outcome

Assessment Plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessment Measure</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Schedule/Cycle</th>
<th>Active</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Graduate Student Research Conference, April 2011</td>
<td>We wanted to see the average score in each category to be about 3 (out of 5).</td>
<td>We will hold this research conference each spring.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We invited IER students to present a major research paper to a panel of five IER faculty members. Each student had 10 minutes to present, followed by 10 minutes of Q&amp;A. The faculty scored each presentation on a scale of 1-5 (1 is the lowest, 5 is the highest) in the following categories: ability to succinctly state the research question; clear explanation of key findings; persuasiveness about academic significance and/or policy relevance of findings; professional quality of presentation (appropriate dress, oral delivery and articulation, visual aids); ability to answer questions directly and thoughtfully.</td>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>